

MEMO



To	Matthew Easton Planning & Infrastructure	Date	17/01/2022
		Our Ref.	211759/DPP
From	Michael Cowie		
Email	micowie@aberdeencity.gov.uk		
Dial	01224 523671		
Fax			

Strategic Place Planning
Aberdeen City Council
Business Hub 4
Ground Floor North
Marischal College
Aberdeen
AB10 1AB

Tel 03000 200 291
Minicom 01224 522381
DX 529451, Aberdeen 9
www.aberdeencity.gov.uk

Planning Application No. 211759/DPP – Re-surfacing of site to form part airport car park including change of use at Land off Dyce Avenue, Aberdeen AB21 0BH.

I have considered the above planning application and have the following observations:

1 Development Proposal

- 1.1 It is noted this application for re-surfacing of site to form airport car parking including change of use at Land off Dyce Avenue, Aberdeen AB21 0BH.
- 1.2 It is noted this site is located in the outer city and not within an area with any form of controlled parking measures.
- 1.3 It is noted that following previous discussions with the Council's Transport Strategy and NESTRAN's colleagues, it is considered that such a proposal is contrary to Council Policies in reducing private vehicular trips and undermines the viability of alternative sustainable transportation. Additionally, Scottish Government published its updated Climate Change Delivery Plan for a green recovery post COVID-19, which includes a commitment to reduce car kilometres by 20% by 2030 and airport travel by sustainable methods would certainly benefit this.
- 1.4 The applicant has attempted to provide justification that there is a requirement for further related airport associated parking, however it is considered that there is sufficient long term parking around the Dyce area. Additionally, while it is noted that one car park located on Wellheads Drive is being utilised for Government COVID-19 Testing Centre but this shall eventually revert back to long stay 'Park and Depart' car park serving the airport.
- 1.5 It is noted the vehicular access to the site would be via Dyce Avenue which is confirmed to already be designed to ACC standards when this area of development was constructed. Therefore, there would be no requirement for a Section 56 Roads Construction Consent (RCC) but if there was proposed to be any alterations then this would be required.

2 Conclusion

- 2.1 It is confirmed that Roads Development Management and Transportation Strategy Team object to this application and proposal, based on the reasons stated above.

Michael Cowie
Engineer
Roads Development Management