Boundary Proposals Stage 1 Consultation - Bucksburn and Newhills and Dyce and Stoneywood			
Consultation took the form of: • Attendance at the Beacon Cuppa • Attendance at Dyce Community Centre • Door canvassing in the Craibstone development			
Respondent	**Comments**		
Dyce and Stoneywood CC	We would prefer to leave the boundary as it currently stands so as to prevent a potential loss in grant funding that we receive from the Council.		
Bucksburn and Newhills CC	We would support the proposal, however we have an additional proposal which would be to extend the boundary line to encompass the Craibstone round-a-bout and follow the A96 to the boundary with Aberdeenshire Council with the area to the South of A96 and to the West of the AWPR transferring to the Bucksburn and Newhills CC area. The reason for this would be to prevent Dyce and Stoneywood having a satellite area outwith their natural boundary. It will also make the boundary clearer using the road networks as a boundary line between the two Community Councils.		
Door to door canvassing in Craibstone			
Looking at the boundary map do you align with Dyce and Stoneywood (current CC) or Bucksburn and Newhills CC.	Bucksburn and Newhills	Dyce and Stoneywood	No preference
	34	4	7
Comments received	We are closer to Bucksburn and I assumed this would be Bucksburn.		
	Our address is listed as Bucksburn so i would change the boundary to reflect this.		
	All of the services, schools and doctors align to the Bucksburn area.		
	I would say that this area should remain in the Dyce and Stoneywood CC boundary.		
	If the change will not affect schools or postcodes, I see no reason to support it either way.		
Attendance at Dyce Community Centre			
Looking at the boundary map do you align with Dyce and Stoneywood (current CC) or Bucksburn and Newhills CC.	Bucksburn and Newhills	Dyce and Stoneywood	No preference
	12	4	5

Comments received	That area I feel is best represented by Bucksburn and Newhills CC.		
	Craibstone development and Rowett South development are both an extension of Bucksburn so I would change it.		
Attendance at the Beacon, Bucksburn			
Looking at the boundary map do you align with Dyce and Stoneywood (current CC) or Bucksburn and Newhills CC.	Bucksburn and Newhills	Dyce and Stoneywood	No preference
	26	0	2
Comments received	The Rowett has always been part of Bucksburn so the new development at Rowett South and Craibstone should transfer to Bucksburn and Newhills CC.		
	We believe that area should transfer to Bucksburn and Newhills to tidy up the boundary and keep the 3 housing developments in one CC rather than split them across 2.		
	Happy to move the boundary to include this area within Bucksburn and Newhills CC.		
	Assumed that area would all come under Bucksburn and Newhills due to the continued development from Forrit Brae.		
Total votes	Bucksburn and Newhills **72**	Dyce and Stoneywood **8**	No Preference **14**
Outcome	The revised boundary proposal will need to be consulted on during stage 2 consultation. The data from Stage 1 will be presented along with Stage 2 data.		

Boundary Proposals Stage 2 Consultation - Bucksburn and Newhills and Dyce and Stoneywood			
Consultation took the form of: • Attendance at the Beacon • Attendance at Dyce Community Centre			
Respondent	**Comments**		
Bucksburn and Newhills CC	The proposal was suggested to make the boundary align to a road rather than a waterway or through a field. It would make it clearer to have this in place.		
Dyce and Stoneywood CC	We do not agree with this proposal. Although they have not discussed the matter with us, we understand that Bucksburn and Newhills Community Council believe that the new boundary between BNCC and DSCC should 'follow the road' (the A96), which will result in a large area being lost to DSCC. We see no convincing reason for this change, or precedent to support it. We do not agree that "this [boundary change] would be to prevent Dyce and Stoneywood having a satellite area out with their natural boundary". In fact, we have effectively served this area for many years and we have a good understanding of its needs and issues. If and when a new Scottish Government data zone is determined for this area (it is currently assigned to Kingswells), we (DSCC) could be set to lose a significant part of our annual grant if the boundary change goes ahead. This will be detrimental to all the residents of Dyce.		
Member of the Public	I would like to know why these boundary changes are being implemented, is this to co-inside with the mastercity plan that has yet to be approved? Is this how you will determine how far a person is able to travel to?		
Attendance at Dyce Community Centre			
Looking at the boundary map the new proposal includes the original proposal represented by the yellow area and the additional request is represented by the purple area. Would you support Proposal 1, 2 or neither transferring to Bucksburn and Newhills CC?	Proposal 1 (yellow) **26**	Proposal 2 (purple including 1) **0**	No preference **4**

Comments received	The area in purple should remain with Dyce and Stoneywood. The population figures in this area are so low that they would not make any significant difference to either CC.		
	There would be no benefit in moving the area in purple		
	Why do Bucksburn and Newhills CC want this area, is there something coming they know about?		
	We don't mind the area in yellow as it would make sense for that area to transfer over and to keep the boundary aligned to the new AWPR.		
Attendance at the Beacon, Bucksburn			
Looking at the boundary map the new proposal includes the original proposal represented by the yellow area and the additional request is represented by the purple area. Would you support Proposal 1, 2 or neither transferring to Bucksburn and Newhills CC?	Proposal 1 **18**	Proposal 2 **22**	No preference **1**
Comments received	No preference really, although it seems a large area to be transferring		
	Better to have this within Bucksburn and Newhills to help with transport movement through the area		
	I would agree with the yellow area but not so sure on the purple section.		
	I would accept the yellow area however would possibly amend it slightly to take in the roundabout to make the boundary clearer.		
Total votes	Proposal 1 (yellow) **44**	Proposal 2 (purple to include 1) **22**	No Preference **5**
Outcome	Based on the data from the consultations, the original proposal to transfer the area at Craibstone was the favoured option. The comment from Dyce and Stoneywood CC relating to the Data zone has been explained in the report at section 3.7.		