E-Consultation Request Notification, Development Management , Application Ref: 230675/DPP



Roy,

Having reassessed the submission, discussed it with other Roads colleagues, and weighed it up against current policies, we would recommend this for refusal.

Significant discussion and deliberation went into the Roads response that accompanied the approved application, most of which covered why the layout which the applicant has persisted and installed was not acceptable.

Our primary concerns were/are the complete removal of all non-EV spaces, which would lead to confusion as to where people who do not have EV's can park – this is not ideal as the parking is immediately upon entering the site, and not a location where ambiguity would be encouraged.

I believe the staff parking that was proposed also has not been installed, which is required and was discussed previously.

It was also stated that the air / water machine should not be adjacent to an EV space, as the use of this would prevent the utilisation of an EV space, or discourage non-EV users from using them.

Scott