

24 Spital
Aberdeen
AB24 3HS

13 January 2016

Planning Department
Aberdeen City Council
Marischal College
ABERDEEN
AB10 1AB

Dear Sir/Madam

Ref: 151811 Student Accommodation Development Kings Crescent/St Peter Street

I wish to object to the application by Ardmuir Property Developers at the above address. Aberdeen City Council has an obligation to refuse consent because of the following:

SITE AFFECTED BY POLLUTION.

The proposed development is to be located within the site of the First Bus depot which operates and maintains 160 diesel busses 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. The intensive operation (parking, washing, maintenance, driver training centre) means that there is a heavy concentration of diesel particulates (PM 10's and PM 2.5's) and Nitrogen Dioxide within the site. Marco Biagi MSP said that "only 13% of the current First Bus fleet would meet the standard to be allowed to operate in a Low Emission Zone". The effects of this air pollution on people's health is well documented in European, United Kingdom and Scottish Governmental and Scientific publications. Indeed, Aberdeen University has recently been commissioned to carry out research because levels within sites such as this in Aberdeen City exceed European and UK directives in relation to air quality levels (all due to diesel particulates).

Aberdeen City Council, as the planning authority, has a duty in their decision making to take the effect of surrounding pollution levels on any building intended for human habitation: therefore it must refrain from allowing a development that would subject the residents to levels of pollution with which the planning department would have no jurisdiction over i.e. they cannot stop the depot carrying out their normal day to day functions, (unless they feel they can impose a remedy on the bus depot?) However there is no basis in law for planning authorities to assume that the Secretary of State or other regulatory bodies can be left to deal with air pollution (Planning Opinion of Robert McCracken QC on Planning and Air Quality) The planning authority could consider imposing a Grampian condition that the development could not be habited until an acceptable air quality at the bus depot was complied with. However this may not be commercially attractive to the developer (Ardmuir). Air quality (emissions) is relevant to this application as the development would "expose people to existing sources of air pollutants" and as such they are a material consideration. This approach would be supported by the National Planning Policy

Framework and the National Planning Practice Guidance as the development is highly likely to impact upon the health of the residents within the building.

Another consideration for any development on this site would be that it would stop the flow of air through the site: this current open space helps dissipate the considerable pollutants produced by First Bus operations into the atmosphere, away from harming people.

NOISE POLLUTION.

From early morning (5am) to late night (11pm) the buses entering and leaving the depot cause noise disturbance. From 10 pm to 3 am the entire fleet is washed and refuelled within the tin sheds closest to the proposed development. This is an extremely noisy operation and disturbs the surrounding neighbourhood. It is further compounded by the fact that the design and layout of the sheds and driveway means that they make screeching noises during the night as there is too restrictive a space for them to easily turn within.

There are also numerous loudspeakers mounted on the lighting poles located within the depot which First Bus operates from February to August to deter herring gulls nesting. This "noise" composes 3 different herring gull distress calls being emitted every 20 to 30 minutes. This has been known to be operated 24/7 during peak times.

SCALE, MASSING AND DESIGN.

The overall scale, massing and design of the proposal is not appropriate for the setting. At a national level the SPP sets out a commitment to give due regard to the "siting and design of new housing". The design should take account of the "setting, with reference to amongst other matters the topography, character and appearance of the surroundings". Clearly this has not been followed by the architects in their design brief as the mass is vastly greater than surrounding buildings, they are higher and the design it is not in keeping with the local vernacular i.e. traditional granite building with pitched roof. There would also be considerable overshadowing of Kings Crescent by the proposed development.

CHARACTER AND SETTING OF OLD ABERDEEN CONSERVATION AREA.

This development would have significant adverse effects on the character and setting of the existing buildings. The existing beautiful buildings, including a category A listed chapel and convent designed and built by the renowned Aberdeen architect Sir John Ninian Comper (1864-1960) provide a small enclave in a mixed use area. The development would ruin, overbear and detract from this in a significant way.

SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY: DETRIMENT TO THE AMENITY OF THE LOCAL COMMUNITY.

For communities to be sustainable they need to have a mixed community. The area surrounding this development already has a large number of purpose build student accommodation blocks which has led to an imbalance in the community: one that makes sustaining this community a continual struggle for the few remaining permanent Aberdeen City residents left within the area.


PARKING

Whilst it is commendable to encourage development where “green transport” can be used the reality is, that the size of this operation will require personnel to “service” it from outside the area and one must assume they will drive. This area is already used by people who work within Aberdeen City and Aberdeen University to park their cars and then walk to work as it is the closest “free” parking area to these locations. There is not a parking space to be found during normal working hours.

Whilst developers might like to assume students do not have cars the reality is (as a local resident knows) that many do have cars for various, sometimes necessary reasons. This is aptly demonstrated by the lack of local parking during term times and thus 3 parking spaces is not adequate for 202 students.

The planning authority cannot just ignore the pollution problems and the other issues highlighted above, and as such they have a statutory duty to refuse consent. I urge them to do so.

Yours sincerely

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

Jacinta Birchley

Cc:

Cllr Nathan Morrison

Cllr Jean Morrison

Cllr Michael Hutchison

Lewis MacDonald MSP

Kirtsy Blackman MP

Kevin Stewart MSP

Old Aberdeen Community Council