Financial Resilience Framework¹

Introduction

For Local Authorities generally, the measure of financial resilience has been to consider rely on in-year contingencies and its Reserves Policy.

The Council acknowledged, in the reports to the Urgent Business Committee and City Growth and Resources Committee in 2020, that financial resilience was a crucial aspect of financial management that became more important in times of crises, such as a global pandemic.

It was recognised that financial resilience was more than about its reserves and there was a need to be more comprehensive in the assessment of the measures of resilience. In the Medium Term Financial Strategy 2020, approved on 28 October 2020 it was agreed that the Council's approach to financial resilience was to be developed further.

While the Covid-19 pandemic brought a specific focus to the subject, it was not the sole reason for further work. The ongoing national debate on the financial sustainability of the local government sector in Scotland, the increasing number of local authorities in England in recent years that have found themselves in financial difficulty, resulting in Chief Financial Officers having to prepare formal s114 notices.²

There has been greater emphasis from external auditors on the assurance and demonstration of the concept of 'going concern' for local authorities and this being a key area of audit activity now.

All of this adds up to the need for greater attention to be paid to the financial resilience of the Council and to consider what financial resilience is, how it is defined and measured and what it leads us to do.

The development of a financial resilience framework to shape the Council's understanding of key aspects of financial strength is the starting point and to define the areas that are most appropriate to consider. Further work will be done to develop this further, to look at the comparators and where this applies, and to consider in more detail the exposure the Council has from its Group entities.

The framework set out here is designed to be the first stage of addressing the instruction to the Chief Officer – Finance from the City Growth and Resources Committee to further develop the approach to financial resilience.

Background

The Council has always reviewed and paid attention to its usable reserves, those that it can draw on in a time of need. To this effect the Council has in place its Reserves Policy, which it reviews annually as part of the budget setting process. This is done in the context of assessing the level of reserves needed to meet estimated future

¹ Extract from Medium Term Financial Strategy 2021, City Growth & Resources Committee 10 November 2021.

² Section 114 notices are the mechanism through which English local authorities report that they are unlikely to achieve a balanced budget for the financial year.

expenditure when calculating the budget requirement. The Chief Officer - Finance is required, as part of the budget setting process each year, to provide a statement on the adequacy of reserves that is subject to an external audit review to assess value for money and a going concern opinion.

The Council keeps a level of reserves to protect against the risk of any uncertainties or unforeseen expenditure. This is considered best practice and demonstrates sound financial planning. Much like using savings to offset monthly household bills the use of financial reserves cannot solve a budget problem outright but allows for smoothing of impacts or allows the Council time to ride any short-term situations before returning to normal.

Therefore, reserves are mainly available to:

- √ Manage the impact of cuts over a longer period;
- ✓ Invest in schemes that allow services to be delivered at lower cost;
- √ Take "one-off hits" for the council as a whole without the need to further reduce service budgets;
- ✓ Provide capacity to absorb any non-achievement of planned budget reductions in each year;
- √ To temporarily roll over unused portions of grants that can legally be used at a later date;
- √ To insure against major unexpected events (such as flooding);
- ✓ To guard against general risk (such as changes in contingent liabilities);
- √ To guard against emergent specific risks (such as a pandemic or financial crisis).

Financial resilience of the Council needs to be looked as a much wider subject as it is about our ability to anticipate, prepare for and respond to the changing financial environment, derived from internal decisions and external factors. To be financial resilient, is to know what would be available in the time of crisis, is to understand the exposure to loss of income, and commitment to expenditure, as well as understanding the flexibility the Council has in terms of accessing funds when they are needed.

In the Medium Term Financial Strategy financial resilience has been broken into the following four areas:

- Review of the Council's Balance Sheet
- Capital financing; investment, and borrowing
- Build financial resilience and independence
- Identify those that should pay by minimising fraud and avoidance

Maintaining a strong balance sheet provides the assurance that the Council can respond in the time of crisis, it can meet its obligations and provides confidence in the Council's ability to participate with our full range of stakeholders. They include our

external auditors, our bond holders, credit rating agency, contractors and suppliers, and ALEOs. Notably the Local Government Benchmarking Framework (LGBF), in the analysis of 2019/20 incorporated financial sustainability information which is of a similar nature. This provides a Scotland wide comparison of some of the key elements that are included in the Council Framework.

Supporting our resilience in our balance sheet includes:

- In-year financial performance to manage the budget position, including cashflow;
- An annual review of the balance sheet by our treasury advisors, with a focus on capital financing requirement, liquidity and long-term borrowing;
- Regular review of usable reserves and the appropriateness of sums earmarked; and
- Regular review of provisions held.

Bringing all of this together to provide the information to the Council in a form that it can take account of in its decision making is an important next step. To inform that, further consideration has been given to the LGBF financial sustainability measures, CIPFA Resilience Index that has been prepared with English Local Authorities, Moody's credit rating assessment reports and careful thought about what is important to the resilience of the Council the areas will be refined to be more specific.

Framework

It is proposed to expand and redefine the components of financial resilience originally included in the MTFS. That expansion results in the following framework:

- Council reserves and liquidity (the availability of resources);
 - Reserves
 - Net Worth
 - Liquidity
 - In this section further work is anticipated regarding the Council exposure to its Group
- Capital financing; investment, and borrowing (the creation of resources and gearing);
 - Capital Finance Requirement
 - o Debt
 - Prudential indicators
 - Investment
- Build financial resilience and independence (the longevity and trends in resources);
 - Top income streams

- Top expenditure commitments
- Operational Cashflow understanding
- Exposure to areas of high demand (e.g. Adult and Children Social Care, Education)
- In this section further work is anticipated regarding the Council exposure to its Group
- Identify those that should pay by minimising fraud and avoidance (the security of resources).
 - o Counter fraud policy and procedure
 - Counter fraud resource
 - National Fraud Initiative
 - Internal and external audit assurance

The Council's financial resilience framework now sits within this document and will be populated based on audited information up to 31 March 2021. The refresh of the MTFS provides the first analysis of the framework.

The proposal is to obtain relevant information to measure the Council finances using the Financial Statements and additional information obtained from the audited Annual Accounts. This will provide the data to calculate a consistent set of relevant ratios that are important to measuring the strength and depth of the Council finances.

The intention is to go further and understand other aspects of the Council's financial position using trend data to consider the impact of history and where possible to look forward based on Council approved plans and strategies.

The relevance and purpose of ratios needs to be carefully considered as they should all have a purpose. The proposal is to avoid simply listing lots of calculations just because they can be calculated, they will have a defined purpose. Examples of the type of ratio that are likely to be included are:

Ratios/Measures	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	
Availability of Resources				
Usable Reserves / Net Revenue inc. HRA	11.0%	10.8%	16.4%	
(%)				\bigcirc
Usable Reserves - GF / Net Revenue (%)	8.0%	7.7%	14.2%	\odot
Usable Reserves - HRA / HRA Revenue				
(%)	12.8%	12.7%	14.3%	\odot
Reserves Sustainability Measure	4.3	6.6	100.0	\odot
Level of Usable Reserves	11.0%	10.8%	16.4%	\odot
Change in Usable Reserves	-41.3%	-31.4%	50.7%	\odot

Ratios/Measures	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21			
Council Tax Requirement / Net Revenue (%)	26.6%	26.9%	24.5%	đħ		
Unallocated Reserves	2.4%	2.6%	2.5%	\bigcirc		
Earmarked Reserves	5.6%	5.1%	11.7%	\bigcirc		
Change in Unallocated Reserves	-8.5%	5.4%	10.0%	\bigcirc		
Change in HRA Reserves	13.9%	13.3%	24.6%	\bigcirc		
Current Ratio	69.7%	77.2%	81.6%	Æ		
Working Capital	£0k	£0k	£0k	₽ħ		
Creation of Resources & Gearing						
Net Worth / Net Direct & Indirect Debt (%)	103.2%	88.1%	101.8%	\bigcirc		
Net Direct & Indirect Debt / Net Revenue inc. HRA (%)	233.6%	247.6%	228.9%	\bigcirc		
Capital Financing Requirement / Total Gross Income (%)	122.2%	119.7%	115.8%	\bigcirc		
Short-Term Direct Debt / Direct Debt (%)	18.0%	16.9%	18.8%	å		
Interest Payments - GF / Net Revenue (%)	9.7%	10.0%	7.9%	\odot		
Interest Payments - HRA / HRA Revenue (%)	7.7%	7.4%	5.7%	\odot		
Interest Payments / Net Revenue inc.HRA (%)	9.3%	9.6%	7.5%	\odot		
Net Worth	£1,288.9m	£1,216.0m	£1,413.2m	\bigcirc		
Gross External Debt	£1,248.5m	£1,380.3m	£1,387.7m	#h		
Longevity & Trends in Resources	l	I				
Adult Social Care Ratio	19.4%	19.6%	18.4%*	Æ		
Children Social Care Ratio						
Education Ratio	37.2%	39.0%	36.5%*	#h		

^{*} **Note:** 2020/21 figures show the impact of increased government funding to support the Covid-19 pandemic, which means that Net Revenue for the year was increased beyond the approved Local Government financial settlement, money was received late in the financial year and remained unspent at 31 March 2021, also resulting in increased useable reserves. This should be considered when reviewing the indicators – 2020/21 was not a regular year.

The data on income and expenditure trends has been taken from audited Annual Accounts, budgets, CIPFA and Scottish Government returns, the contracts register and Scottish Government finance circulars.

For the purposes of materiality and relevance, the proposal is to focus on the highest value 'top 20' income streams and expenditure commitments that the Council has.

Security of resources will rely on the three lines of defence in the Risk Assurance Maps, including findings and the opinion of internal and external auditors.

Using the Financial Resilience Framework

Financial resilience ratios, trends and data collated to support a comprehensive view of the Council is not about there being one answer, nor is about a statement of right or wrong. Instead, it is about providing context for decision making and planning. Through understanding other aspects of Council finance, I expect the Council to be able to consider more than simply balancing the budget. This might include decisions to grow reserves or address an adverse trend in expenditure commitments or reduce borrowing. This will assist the Council to be well informed and can make the choices it is entitled to make.

Councils have a very long history and decisions have been taken at different times for different reasons both at a local and national government level that leaves each Council today in the situation it is. Therefore, every Council is in a different position, no two Councils will have experienced the same history and it is inevitable the financial resilience of all will be different.

The financial resilience framework proposed should, in my view, be used as the basis for understanding the underlying financial position of the Council, from which decisions must be taken, to provide the basis for highlighting where action is required or where it should be considered. The opportunity to get an insight into aspects of Council finance that may only emerge over time and that on an annual cycle could be overlooked or not given sufficient consideration.

I see some ratios having a direct impact on the short-term financial planning of the Council, while other being considered with aspiration and objectives stretching out over the medium to long term.

The data can act as triggers for action, with the final chosen ratios, where appropriate, to have in place some parameters to define or describe the urgency, scale and pace of action that is required. As the information accumulates then it can act as an early warning of emerging pressures.

Interpretation of the values is still work in progress. I have indicated in the table, above, if the ratio appears to be stable or improving \circ or if we should be watching it \circ .

In relation to the "Availability of Resources" indicators there is an unnatural financial position created by the funding provided to support the Covid-19 pandemic as at the end of March 2021. Increased income was paid late in financial year 2020/21, resulting in large sums of funding being carried forward by the Council and this was replicated in all other Scottish Local Authorities. The indicators do show that the Council is in, what I'd describe, as a strong position going into financial year 2021/22 with a healthy value of usable reserves and an appropriate sum uncommitted for specific purposes. Given the financial pressure in 2021/22, as reported in the Quarter 2 financial performance report, there is expected to be a downward impact on the level and value of resources available at the end of this financial year.

The 'Creation of Resources & Gearing" indicators show the cost of capital investment being affordable, the reasons include improving interest rate levels on which payments are charged as well as the changes that the Council has made to accounting policy in respect of the capital financing, prudently extending the useful lives of assets to better reflect the actual consumption of the assets. The value of total external debt must be viewed in the context of the overall assets and resources of the Council as debt arises from investment in our assets. The Net Worth of the Council, after accounting for the debt owed, was £1.4bn at 31 March 2021. The value of debt has increased over the years in line with the capital programme decisions that have been made for both General Fund and Housing. Through reference to the approved Prudential Indicators, that accompanied the 2021/22 budget in March 2021, the capital financing requirement is planned to increase and there will be corresponding increases to the annual repayment values. These have been included in the financial scenario planning within this Medium Term Financial Strategy.

The longevity and trends in resources is the least developed currently, and while there is information available it requires further analysis before fully presenting it. That includes the schedule of most valuable income streams and highest value contractual obligations. This includes a risk assessment, and specific consideration should be given to variable sums receivable from specific grants, developer obligations and external bodies. Further information in this regard will be incorporated into the Budget report for financial year 2022/23 in March 2022.

Future development

As referred to above, the Council has group entities that are incorporated into its accounts and therefore holds risk and reward from the relationships that it has. Given the impact of the pandemic on the group entities, I propose that over the next year, in conjunction with them, we do further work to understand the relevant exposure that the Council has to each and to document this in an appropriate way

The ambition is that with further development and engagement with stakeholders in Scotland this can become more meaningful. Work already published in the LGBF for 2019/20 shows the extent of variation in respect of some of the key indicators that support sustainability and care needs to be taken in interpreting the results, particularly differences between Councils where, for example, some no longer have Housing Revenue Accounts. Further work will have to be done on appropriate comparison with other Councils to set the Aberdeen City data in context, rather than for direct comparison, as each Council is following a different strategic plan and are at different stages in those plans and by using information from a common data set, prepared using the same accounting standards it gives the opportunity to compare the Council with its peers. I will update the Committee as this moves forward.